	Case 2:23-cv-00666-DAD-EFB Docume	nt 33 Filed 12/03/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RONALD S. MILLER,	No. 2:23-cv-00666-DAD-EFB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	EL DORADO COUNTY JAIL,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REOPEN THIS
15	Defendant.	CASE (D. N. 20, 22)
16		(Doc. Nos. 30, 32)
17		
18	Plaintiff Ronald S. Miller is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in	
19	this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United	
20	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On August 26, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending that plaintiff's motion to reopen this case be granted. (Doc. No. 32 at 2.)	
23	Specifically, the magistrate judge concluded that plaintiff had demonstrated inadvertence and	
24	excusable neglect justifying relief from the final judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
25	60(b)(1) because plaintiff was unable to prosecute the case without assistance. ( <i>Id.</i> at 1.) The	
26	magistrate judge also noted that plaintiff now has help litigating this action, including filing an	
27	amended complaint. (Id.)	
28		
		1

## Case 2:23-cv-00666-DAD-EFB Document 33 Filed 12/03/24 Page 2 of 2

The pending findings and recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 2.) To date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted as

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

## Accordingly:

- 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 26, 2024 (Doc. No. 32) are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion to reopen this case (Doc. No. 30) is granted;
- 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to reopen this case;
- 4. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff shall file either a first amended complaint or a notice of his intent not to do so; and
- 5. This matter is referred back to the magistrate judge for further proceedings consistent with this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **December 3, 2024** 

DALE A. DROZD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE